

## Communication

# Kinetic and Thermodynamic Preferences in Aryl vs Benzylic C–H Bond Activation with Cationic Pt(II) Complexes

Alan F. Heyduk, Tom G. Driver, Jay A. Labinger, and John E. Bercaw

*J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2004**, 126 (46), 15034-15035• DOI: 10.1021/ja045078k • Publication Date (Web): 28 October 2004 Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on April 5, 2009



# **More About This Article**

Additional resources and features associated with this article are available within the HTML version:

- Supporting Information
- Links to the 11 articles that cite this article, as of the time of this article download
- Access to high resolution figures
- Links to articles and content related to this article
- Copyright permission to reproduce figures and/or text from this article

View the Full Text HTML





Published on Web 10/28/2004

## Kinetic and Thermodynamic Preferences in Aryl vs Benzylic C–H Bond Activation with Cationic Pt(II) Complexes

Alan F. Heyduk,<sup>†</sup> Tom G. Driver, Jay A. Labinger,\* and John E. Bercaw\*

The Arnold and Mabel Beckman Laboratories of Chemical Synthesis,

California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125

Received August 16, 2004; E-mail: jal@its.caltech.edu

The past two decades have seen a number of detailed mechanistic studies of C-H bond activation processes by transition metal complexes,<sup>1</sup> with the ultimate goal of new catalysts for selective hydrocarbon functionalization. Our work has focused on platinum-(II) complexes, which have yielded mechanistic insight into C-H bond activation chemistry<sup>2</sup> and pointed toward promising catalysts for selective oxidation of alkanes<sup>3</sup> and for regiospecific functionalization of complex organic molecules.<sup>4</sup> The cationic Pt(II) complexes  $[(NN)Pt(CH_3)(CF_3CH_2OH)]^+$  (1, NN = ArN=C(Me)-C(Me)=NAr), obtained by protonolysis of (NN)Pt(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> with aqueous HBF<sub>4</sub>, provide a particularly fertile field for mechanistic investigation.5 Thus prepared, however, 1 is obtained as an equilibrium mixture with the aquo complex [(NN)Pt(CH<sub>3</sub>)(OH<sub>2</sub>)]<sup>+</sup>, which is both thermodynamically favored ( $K_{eq} = 10^2 - 10^3$ ) over, and much less reactive than, 1. Furthermore, attempts to probe the nature of the bond activation transition state were hampered by the fact that effects of ligand variations are most strongly manifested in ground-state energies  $(K_{eq})$ .<sup>5e</sup> To circumvent these limitations, we sought a water-free route to 1 and found not only the anticipated rate accelerations but also unexpected isomerizations and preferences in C-H activations of alkylbenzenes.



Solutions of the strong Lewis acid  $B(C_6F_5)_3$  in  $CF_3CD_2OD$  exhibited a <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectrum consistent with reversible formation of the adduct,  $D[(CF_3CD_2OB(C_6F_5)_3]]$ .<sup>6</sup> Addition of (NN)Pt(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (Ar = 3,5-dimethylphenyl) to such a solution resulted in the release of methane and quantitative formation of **1**, both produced as a mixture of two isotopomers (CH<sub>4</sub> and CH<sub>3</sub>D; [(NN)Pt(CH<sub>3</sub>)(CF<sub>3</sub>-CD<sub>2</sub>OD)]<sup>+</sup> and [(NN)Pt(CH<sub>2</sub>D)(CF<sub>3</sub>CD<sub>2</sub>OD)]<sup>+</sup>), indicating a protonolytic route to **1** (eq 1).<sup>7</sup> Addition of benzene to this solution resulted in rapid reaction of **1** to give the corresponding phenyl cation, [(NN)Pt(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)(CF<sub>3</sub>CD<sub>2</sub>OD)]<sup>+</sup> (**2**) and a second equivalent of methane. With excess benzene, the reaction followed clean pseudo-first-order kinetics; the calculated second-order rate constant (Table 1, entry 1) and kinetic isotope effect (KIE) were in accord with previous results for the equilibrium mixture of solvento/aquo complexes of closely related ligands.<sup>5e</sup>

Previous observations on reactions of methylbenzenes with Pt-(II) complexes supported an apparent strong preference for aromatic activation, which could be overridden by steric crowding.<sup>5</sup>c.<sup>e</sup> Thus, **1** reacted with mesitylene exclusively by benzylic activation, to afford [(NN)Pt(CH<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>Me<sub>2</sub>)(CF<sub>3</sub>CD<sub>2</sub>OD)]<sup>+</sup> (**3**). From the clean



**Figure 1.** Plots showing the relative concentrations of platinum cations 1 (--), **4a** (--), and **4b** (---) during the reaction of 1 with (a) *p*-xylene- $h_{10}$  (0.30 M) and (b) *p*-xylene- $d_{10}$  (0.22 M) in CF<sub>3</sub>CD<sub>2</sub>OD at 25 °C.

*Table 1.* Second-Order Rate Constants for C-H Bond Activation Reactions of 1 (25 °C, CF<sub>3</sub>CD<sub>2</sub>OD)

entry	substrate	$k_{Ar} ({\rm M}^{-1}{\rm s}^{-1})$	$k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D}{}^a$	$k_{Bz}(M^{-1}s^{-1})$	$k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D}{}^a$
1 2 3	benzene mesitylene <i>p</i> -xylene	$\begin{array}{c} 1.6(2)\times 10^{-2}\\ \text{na}\\ 4.0(13)\times 10^{-3} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.8\pm0.2\\ na\\ 4.0\pm1.8\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} & \text{na} \\ 2.0(2) \times 10^{-3} \\ 2.0(9) \times 10^{-3} \end{array}$	$na \\ 1.1 \pm 0.2 \\ 0.8 \pm 0.3$

<sup>a</sup> For perdeutero- vs d<sub>0</sub>-substrate.

first-order kinetic behavior, the observed rate constant was determined to be an order of magnitude lower than that for benzene and the measured KIE was found to be essentially 1 (Table 1, entry 2).

*p*-Xylene reacted with **1** to give products of both aromatic (Pt-(2,5-Me<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>), **4a**) and benzylic (Pt-(CH<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>Me), **4b**) activation, similar to the behavior in the presence of water.<sup>5c,e,8</sup> However, the initial product distribution was not stable (Figure 1). After starting complex **1** was completely consumed, **4b** continued to grow at the expense of **4a**. This conversion displayed first-order dependence on *p*-xylene concentration ( $k_2 = 0.0003(1) \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ; KIE = 0.9-(2)), indicating a reaction of **4a** with *p*-xylene rather than an intramolecular isomerization process (Scheme 1). The KIEs for aromatic and benzylic C–H bond activation were quite different, as can be readily seen by comparing Figures 1a and 1b; kinetic parameters were extracted by fitting<sup>6</sup> the data in Figure 1 (Table 1, entry 3). The difference in KIE for sp<sup>2</sup> and sp<sup>3</sup> C–H bond activation was unexpected and not obviously interpretable.<sup>8</sup>

Toluene behaved (qualitatively) analogously: an initial mixture of benzylic and aromatic C–H activation products subsequently converted to solely the former, although the complex mixture of aryl isomers has not yet been completely analyzed. Similarly, reaction of 1 with an equimolar mixture of benzene and mesitylene afforded an initial mixture of 2 and 3 in ca. 6:1 ratio (consistent

 $<sup>^{\</sup>dagger}$  Current address: Department of Chemistry, University of California, Irvine, Irvine, CA, 92697.



**Figure 2.** Diagnostic <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopic evidence in CF<sub>3</sub>CD<sub>2</sub>OD showing that  $J_{PtH}$  of H<sub>a</sub> and H<sub>b</sub> in **5** (Ar = Mes) supports  $\eta^3$ -bonding as the thermodynamic preference for benzylic C–H activation.

Scheme 1



with the independently measured rate constants), which slowly ( $k_{obs} \sim 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) converted entirely<sup>9</sup> to **3**.

C-H activating systems generally exhibit thermodynamic<sup>10</sup> as well as kinetic preferences for aromatic over benzylic activation. In stark contrast, while aromatic activation here was favored kinetically, the observations indicated a decided thermodynamic preference for the products of benzylic activation. One possible explanation is that **4b** is [(NN)Pt( $\eta^3$ -CH<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>Me)]<sup>+</sup> rather than [(NN)Pt( $\eta^1$ -CH<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>Me)(CF<sub>3</sub>CD<sub>2</sub>OD)]<sup>+</sup> where the additional contribution of  $\eta^3$ -bonding might stabilize it relative to **4a**. We have been unable to crystallize **4b**, but addition of acetonitrile gave a crystallizable complex (**4b**') whose structure is clearly [(NN)Pt-( $\eta^1$ -CH<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>Me)(NCCH<sub>3</sub>)][BF<sub>4</sub>].<sup>6</sup>

Evidence for the formation of a  $\eta^3$ -complex was obtained when platinum methyl cation **1** was exposed to 1,4-diethylbenzene in CF<sub>3</sub>CD<sub>2</sub>OD (eq 2). In contrast to **4**, the Pt–H coupling could be observed for both H<sub>a</sub> and H<sub>b</sub> ( $J_{PtH} = 20$  and 30 Hz, respectively) using <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy. Behavior similar to that for methyl-substituted benzenes was observed with diethylbenzene: reaction with **1** also afforded aryl activation products, which were converted to  $\eta^3$ -complex **5** over time.<sup>11</sup> Diagnostic Pt–H coupling of an analogous  $\eta^3$ -complex was also observed when a more substituted diimine ligand (Ar = Mes) was employed (Figure 2). The instability of **5** (or **5**·BF<sub>4</sub>) as a solid precluded structural analysis using X-ray diffraction.

In summary, we have observed selectivity trends in the C-H bond activation of substituted benzenes using anhydrous cationic Pt(II) complexes. The thermodynamic preference for benzylic C-H



activation appears to result from stabilizing  $\eta^3$ -bonding observable in **5**. Experimental and theoretical efforts are currently directed toward understanding these novel patterns for benzylic versus aromatic C-H bond activation and exploiting these selectivities in C-H bond functionalization.

Acknowledgment. Funding for this work was provided by the BP MC2 program and the NIH in the form of an NCI fellowship to A.F.H. and an NRSA fellowship to T.G.D. We thank Dr. Scott Ross for assistance with NMR spectrometry, Dr. Mona Shahgholi for mass spectrometry data, and Mr. Larry M. Henling for crystallographic analysis.

**Supporting Information Available:** Detailed experimental procedures, integrated rate laws for *p*-xylene reactions, proposed mechanistic interpretations, and X-ray diffraction data for **4b'** (PDF, CIF). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

#### References

- (1) Labinger, J. A.; Bercaw, J. E. *Nature* 2002, 417, 507-514 and references therein.
- (2) (a) Stahl, S. S.; Labinger, J. A.; Bercaw, J. E. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 2180–2192 and references therein. (b) Fekl, U.; Goldberg, K. I. Adv. Inorg. Chem. 2003, 54, 259–320 and references therein.
- (3) (a) Gol'dschleger, N. F.; Es'kova, V. V.; Shilov, A. E.; Shteinman, A. A. Zh. Fiz. Khim. 1972, 46, 1353–1354 (Engl. trans. 1972, 46, 785–786).
  (b) Periana, R. A.; Taube, D. J.; Gamble, S.; Taube, H.; Satoh, T.; Fujii, H. Science 1998, 280, 560–564. (c) Lin, M.; Shen, C.; Garcia-Zayas, E. A.; Sen, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 1000–1001.
- (4) (a) Johnson, J. A.; Li, N.; Sames, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 6900–6903.
   (b) Dangel, B. D.; Johnson, J. A.; Sames, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 8149–8150.
- (5) (a) Johansson, L.; Ryan, O. B.; Tilset, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 1974–1975. (b) Johansson, L.; Tilset, M.; Labinger, J. A.; Bercaw, J. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 10846–10855. (c) Johansson, L.; Tilset, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 739–740. (d) Johansson, L.; Ryan, O. B.; Rømming, C.; Tilset, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 6579–6590. (e) Zhong, H. A.; Labinger, J. A.; Bercaw, J. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 1378–1399.
- (6) Experimental details, including detailed procedures, spectroscopic data, kinetics analyses and X-ray crystallographic data are provided in Supporting Material.
- (7) The alternative, methide abstraction by B(C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>)<sub>3</sub>, would lead to [MeB(C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>)<sub>3</sub>]<sup>-</sup> (which is stable to reaction conditions) and no deuterium incorporation into the platinum methyl cation.
- (8) Kinetic ratio of aromatic/benzylic activation here, around 2:1, is significantly different from that found for a close analogue of 1 in the presence of water, ≥10:1.<sup>5e</sup> The reason for this difference is not clear; (hopefully) plausible explanations for this observation as well as the unusual isotope effect behavior are offered in Supporting Information.
  (9) Under the limitations inherent to the <sup>1</sup>H NMR experiment, a lower limit
- (9) Under the limitations inherent to the <sup>1</sup>H NMR experiment, a lower limit of K<sub>eq</sub> ≥ 20 can be assigned to the equilibrium constant relating [2] and [3].
  (10) For example, with the Tp'RhL fragment (Tp' = hydrotris(3,5-dimethyl-
- (10) For example, with the 1p KnL tragment (1p hydroins(c,)-chinethylpyrazolyl)borate, L = neopentyl isocyanide) aromatic activation of benzene is favored over benzylic activation of mesitylene by 6.6 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> (Jones, W. D.; Hessell, E. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1993**, 115, 554–562).
- (11) While methyl C-H activation was not directly observed, exposure of 5 to deuterated alcohols caused deuterium exchange into the methyl group of the complex.

JA045078K